

Wolgan Road Lidsdale NSW 2790 PO Box 42 Wallerawang NSW 2845 Australia T: 61 2 6354 8700 F: 61 2 6355 1493 E: info@centennialcoal.com.au W: www.centennialcoal.com.au

31 October 2013

Mr David Kitto Director – Mining and Industry Projects Department of Planning and Infrastructure G P O Box 39 Sydney NSW 2001

Dear Mr Kitto

#### S75W MODIFICATION APPLICATION TO PA 06\_0021 FOR LONGWALL 980 AND 900W

Following correspondence forwarded to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure on 11 September 2013 and subsequent discussions with the Department on 20 September 2013 and 24 September 2013, Centennial Angus Place Pty Limited (Angus Place) is seeking to modify project approval PA06\_0021 to extend the length of Longwall 980 and Longwall 900W by 43.4 metres and 104.8 metres respectively and increase the extraction height from 3.25 metres to 3.425 metres. **Figure 1** identifies the extent of these proposed changes to longwall length.

Following ongoing geotechnical and geological investigations, Angus Place has identified an opportunity to extract additional coal in these longwall panels which would allow a more complete extraction of the coal resource.

## INTRODUCTION

Centennial Angus Place Pty Limited (Angus Place) holds Project Approval 06\_0021 granted by the then Minister for Planning on 13 September 2006 which authorises mining of the Lithgow Seam in longwall panels 920 to 980. The Project Approval 06\_0021 has been modified on two occasions since the original grant, as follows:

- MOD 1 the development of two longwall panels, 900W and 910, increasing annual tonnage to four million tonnes per annum, development of additional dewatering bores and associated infrastructure and increasing manning.
- MOD 2 Construction of a Ventilation Facility and underground trial mining.

Project Approval 06\_0021 was supported by a document entitled *Angus Place Colliery Proposed Mining and Coal Transport*, dated January 2006.

On 21 April 2010, Angus Place made an application to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure to extract Longwall panels 900W and 910. This application was supported by a document entitled *Environmental Assessment: Angus Place Colliery, NSW Modification of Project Approval 06\_0021 Under Section 75W, Part 3A* dated November 2010. The modification application was subsequently approved by the Planning Assessment Commission on 29 August 2011.

The relevant aspects of the original Project Approval and MOD 1 were the extraction of coal from Longwall 980 and Longwalls 900W and 910 respectively. The original Project Approval

and MOD 1 were supported by a number of environmental assessments as outlined in the documents above.

## IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF VARIED LONGWALL LENGTH AND HEIGHT

Ditton was engaged by Angus Place to complete a subsidence assessment for the proposed modification. This assessment, at **Attachment 1** (LW980) and **Attachment 2** (LW900W), included:

- A summary of the maximum subsidence effects resulting from the previous mining layout outlined in the *Subsidence Prediction and Impact Assessment Review of LWs 920 to 980* appended to the MOD 1 Environmental Assessment;
- Predicted cumulative subsidence effect contours resulting from the previous mining layout and proposed extension to LW 900W and LW980 and proposed increase in height for LW980, 900W and 910;
- A summary of the maximum predicted subsidence impacts resulting from the previous mining layouts and proposed longwall extensions;
- An assessment of the stability of first workings and reduced width barrier pillars in the vicinity of the proposed longwall extensions.

Table 1 of **Attachment 1** summarises the maximum subsidence effect predictions for the LW980 longwall extension. Table 1 of **Attachment 2** summarises the maximum subsidence effect predictions for the LW900W longwall extension.

Both subsidence assessments conclude that the predicted change in impact is minimal, and within the previously assessed and approved 26.5° angle of draw.

There are no sensitive surface features within the proposed modification area.

The previously approved subsidence impacts are detailed in section 9 of **Attachment 1** and **Attachment 2**. Specifically, the impacts due to the proposed increase in longwall length and height are expected to remain within the predicted range of environmental consequences approved in the original Project Approval 06\_0021.

## SUBSIDENCE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR LONGWALL 980

On 4 October 2013, the Department of Trade and Investment, Regional Infrastructure and Services (DTIRIS) approved a Subsidence Management Plan (SMP) variation application to increase the extraction height for LW980. DTIRIS have raised no issues with the proposed modification and a subsequent application to variation the length of longwall 980 will be made following planning approval of this modification.

Angus Place has commenced consultation with the SMP Interagency Committee on the proposed modification. Consultation has taken place with relevant agencies as per **Attachment 3.** 

#### EXTRACTION PLAN FOR LONGWALL 900W AND 910

An Extraction Plan to increase the extraction length of LW900W and height of LW900W and 910 will be submitted to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure following approval of this modification.

Angus Place has commenced consultation with the SMP Interagency Committee on the proposed modification. Consultation has taken place with relevant agencies as per **Attachment 3.** 

#### CONCLUSION

Angus Place is seeking a modification to Project Approval 06\_0021 to increase the longwall length of LW980 and 900W and height of LW980, 900W and 910. The subsidence assessments supporting this modification conclude that the impacts and consequences are within those assessed in the original Project Approval and MOD 1 Environmental Assessments.

An application to support this modification and a political donations form is attached. For further information, please contact Mary-Anne Crawford on (02) 4935 8918 or 0400 403 550.

Yours faithfully

Mary-Anne Crawford Group Approvals Manager

Encl Attachment 1: Subsidence Assessment on the Proposed Modification to Longwall 980
 Attachment 2: Subsidence Assessment on the Proposed Modification to Longwalls 900W and 910
 Attachment 3: Consultation Logs





Attachment 1:

Subsidence Assesment Longwall 980

**Angus Place Colliery** 

October 2013



Ditton Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd 82 Roslyn Avenue Charlestown NSW 2290 PO Box 5100 Kahibah NSW 2290



28 October, 2013

Natalie Conroy Environmental Coordinator Centennial Angus Place Colliery Pty Ltd PO Box 42 WALLERAWANG NSW 2845

Report No. ANP-001/5

Dear Natalie,

# Subject: Subsidence Assessment on the Proposed Modification to Longwall 980, Centennial Angus Place Colliery, Lidsdale

# 1.0 Introduction

As requested by Centennial Angus Place Colliery (Angus Place), Ditton Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd (DgS) has completed a subsidence assessment on the proposed changes to Longwall 980 for inclusion in an Environmental Assessment Modification submission to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DP&I). This report will also support an application to the Department of Trade and Investment, Regional Infrastructure and Services – Division of Resources and Energy (DTIRIS) to vary the approved Longwalls 930 – 980 Subsidence Management Plan (SMP).

The modification to the proposed longwall panel geometry includes:

• The extension of LW980 by 43.4 m into the barrier pillar towards the west at an extraction height up to 3.425m.

It is possible that some sections of the proposed extension area will not be mined above 3.25m where roof bolts have been installed in access headings. For the purposes of worst-case subsidence assessment, it has been assumed that the increased mining height will be extracted across all of the extension area.

The proposed modification to the original mining layout that was presented in the development consent is shown in **Figure 1**.

## 2.0 Background

Angus Place received a development consent for the extraction of Longwalls 920 to 980 from the Department of Planning in 2006 under the provisions of Part 3A of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act).

Angus Place subsequently received approval to modify PA 06\_0021 (Mod 1) in August 2011 from the DP&I (former Department of Planning). The changes included approval for the development and extraction of two additional longwall panels (LWs 900W and 910).

#### **3.0** Scope of Work

This report has been prepared to include the following scope of work:

- A summary of the maximum subsidence effects resulting from the previous mining layout as outlined in the Subsidence Prediction and Impact Assessment Review of LWs 920 to 980 at the Centennial Angus Place Colliery, Lidsdale (**DgS**, 2010).
- Predicted cumulative subsidence effect contours resulting from the previous mining layout and proposed extension to LW980. This assessment also considers the increased extraction height from 3.25 m to 3.425 m between 10CT to 2CT. This variation to the mining layout was approved by DTIRIS on 4 October 2013 following an application by Angus Place to vary the approved SMP.
- A summary of the maximum predicted subsidence impacts above LW980 resulting from the previous mining layout and proposed extension to LW980.
- An assessment of the stability of the first workings and reduced width barrier pillars in the vicinity of the proposed extension following extraction of LW980.

Reference has been made to the original subsidence predictions for LW980 (**DgS**, 2010) and the end of panel report review for LWs 920 to 970 (**DgS**, 2013) for the purposes of this assessment.

## 4.0 Mining Geometry

Details of the proposed mine workings geometry and modifications are summarised below:

- The currently approved longwall 980 has a void width of 277 m with a depth of cover ranging from 300 m to 380 m. The existing and proposed panel geometries indicate critical panel width/cover depth ratios ranging from 0.72 to 0.98; see **Figure 2**.
- LW 980 is currently being extracted outbye towards the west at a mining height of 3.425 m between 10 C/T and 2 C/T, as approved by the SMP Variation. The previous mining height was 3.25 m.
- The proposed panel extension of 43.4 m between 2 C/T to 1 C/T and the barrier pillar may also be extracted at the increased mining height away from the existing access heading within the extension area. The existing barrier width will be reduced from 100.2 m to a width of 56.8 m.
- This assessment has conservatively modelled worst case subsidence by assuming that the entire are will be extracted at a mining height of 3.425 m.
- The main headings pillars and reduced width barrier pillars are likely to be subject to increased abutment loading (and therefore increased subsidence) after the modified LWs 980 and 900W panels are completed.
- There are four rows of main headings pillars to the west of the reduced width barrier pillar for LW980. The pillars are 35 m wide with lengths ranging from 58 m to 104 m.
- The width and height of the existing roadways are 4.8 m and 3.25 m respectively.

## 5.0 Surface Features

The modified longwall panel area will be extracted below the Newnes State Forest, which is largely vegetated by eucalypt tree species and shrubs. The terrain is gently undulated with broad crested gullies draining towards the north, north east and southwest. Ground slopes are generally  $< 10^{\circ}$ ; see **Figures 1** to **3**.

There are no existing surface developments within the Design Angle of Draw (AoD) of 26.5° from the proposed longwall extraction limit modification.

There are no sensitive features such as cliff lines > 20 m in height, rock features between 5 m and 20 m height, watercourses or Aboriginal Heritage Sites, or endangered ecological communities (EEC's) under the *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995* within an AoD distance of  $26.5^{\circ}$  (0.5 times the cover depth) of the proposed panel modification.

## 6.0 Geology

The surface lithology consists of a shallow residual or alluvial sandy soil cover to a depth 1 m to 5 m overlying highly weathered sandstones of the Burralow Formation with low to very low strength (UCS <20 MPa). Massive, high strength sandstone units of the Narrabeen Group's Banks Wall and Burra-Moko Head Formations exist between depths of 50 m to 200 m and are likely to reduce subsidence due to 'bridging' or natural 'arching' behaviour.

The strata below the massive sandstone units consist of thinly bedded sandstone and siltstone of the Narrabeen Groups' Caley Formation, which exists immediately above the Permian Illawarra Coal Measures. The measures include the 10 m thick Katoomba / Little Riverdale Seams, interbedded sandstone, coal, shale and mudstone of low to moderate strength and the Lidsdale/Lithgow Seams.

Known regional geological structures within the Angus Place Holding consists of normal, reverse and strike slip faulting associated with the Wolgan River and Kangaroo Creek Lineaments.

The structures associated with the lineaments are mid-angled to sub-vertical (i.e. dip angles range from  $35^{\circ}$  to  $80^{\circ}$ ) and oriented on a NNE, NNW and NW strike, see **Figure 4**. The normal and reverse fault throws range from 0.1 m to 1.0 m and the strike - slip faults have displacements of several metres.

The location, categorisation and likely influence of the structures on the overburden and subsidence above the proposed LW980 modification area has been broadly assessed in **Palaris, 2013** and summarised in **Section 7.1**.



## 7.0 Maximum Subsidence Effect Predictions

## 7.1 Geological Structure Effects on Subsidence Predictions

The influence of geological structure on predicted subsidence for LW980 was assessed in **DgS**, 2010 and based on measured subsidence effects above LWs 920 to 950.

**Palaris, 2013** and **DgS, 2011** has established that there are four types (Type 1 to 4) of geological structure within the Angus Place Holding that appear to have had some to no effect on subsidence measurement. A summary of each structure type and its effect on subsidence development is presented below:

- In-seam mapping and surface interpretation work indicates several Major Type 1 faults associated with East Wolgan, Narrow and Kangaroo Creeks. These faults are associated with the Wolgan River and Kangaroo Creek Lineaments and have incised valleys and plateau areas. Subsidence monitoring indicates that there have been subsidence increases above the incised valley sections of up to 1 m. Increased tilt and compressive strains have also occurred in the valleys.
- Type 2 faulting is similar to Type 1, however, it is not as persistent as Type 1 structure with only limited surface expression (e.g. single sided valleys or steep slopes). Subsidence increase potential above Type 2 structure is unknown at this stage as they have not yet been undermined by any Angus Place longwalls.
- Minor Type 3 faulting commonly exists at seam level but show no surface expression across the mining area (e.g. mildly undulating terrain and plateau areas). Subsidence monitoring indicates that there have been no subsidence effect increases above the Type 3 structure areas.
- Type 4 structures are basement structures only, which, despite being common, do not have structural features at the Lithgow Seam level or have expression at the surface. No surface subsidence changes have occurred above Type 4 structure.

Reference to **Palaris, 2013** indicates that the major (Type 1) fault structure associated with Kangaroo Creek terminates within LW980, however this is not associated with the proposed LW980 extension; see **Figure 4**.

## 7.2 Predicted Maximum Subsidence Effects

The maximum subsidence effects for the proposed modification to LW980 have been predicted based on reference to ACARP, 2003 and the same methodology described in DgS, 2010.

The area of proposed modification to LW980 is considered to be outside the fault affected zones (see **Figure 5**) and within a broad valley associated with the upper reaches of Kangaroo Creek (see **Figure 3**). The predicted subsidence effects are therefore unlikely to be affected by the faulting or valley bulging phenomena. It is considered that the overburden above the

proposed LW980 modification area is likely to have 'high' subsidence reduction potential due to the massive strata of the Banks Wall and Burra-Moko sandstone units.

The predicted maximum final subsidence effects for the proposed LW980 extension has been presented in **Table 1** together with the current predictions for the 3.25 m mining height.

LW#	Mining Height (m)	Cover Depth (m)	Subsidence S <sub>max</sub> (m)	Tilt T <sub>max</sub> (mm/m)	Tensile Strain (mm/m)	Compressive Strain (mm/m)	Surface Cracking width (mm)	CL Angle of draw (°)
980	3.25	310	0.07 - 0.1	0.5 - 2	0.5 - 1	nil	<50	21.9
Extended	3.425	310	0.1 - 0.2	2 - 6	1.0 - 1.5	nil	<50	22.2
Change	0.175	nil	0.03 - 0.1	1.5 - 4	< 0.5	nil	nil	0.3

 Table 1 - Maximum Subsidence Effect Predictions for the LW980 Modification

The cumulative subsidence effects associated with the increased mining height of 3.425m between 10 CT and 2 CT, as approved by the SMP Variation, have also been considered in this assessment and are presented in **Table 2**.

Table 2 - Maximum Subsidence Effect Predictions for Increased Extraction Height in
LW980 (10CT to 2CT)

LW#	Mining Height (m)	Cover Depth (m)	Subsidence S <sub>max</sub> (m)	Tilt T <sub>max</sub> (mm/m)	Tensile Strain (mm/m)	Compressive Strain (mm/m)	Surface Cracking width (mm)	CL Angle of draw
								(°)
980*	3.25	310	0.86 - 1.20	6 - 9	2.8 - 4.2	3.5 - 5.3	<50	21.9
10 - 2CT	3.425	310	0.90 - 1.25	6 - 9	2.9 - 4.4	3.7 - 5.5	<50	22.2
Change	0.175	nil	0.040 - 0.050	nil	<0.2	<0.2	nil	0.3

\* - Subsidence effect predictions for the 3.25 m mining height presented in *Table 9* of **DgS**, 2010.

The proposed 5% increase in mining height indicates only minor changes to the previously assessed values in **DgS**, 2010. The previously predicted subsidence in the extension area will increase between 0.03 m and 0.1 m, with tilt increasing by 1.5 mm/m to 4 mm/m. Tensile and compressive strains will increase by 0.5 mm/m to 1 mm/m.

The predicted impacts due to the proposed modifications remain unchanged.

# 7.3 Predicted Subsidence Contour Effects

Based on the calibrated SDPS<sup>®</sup> model presented in **DgS**, 2010, predictions of cumulative worst-case subsidence contours for the approved and modified LWs 920 to 980 mining layout are shown in **Figure 6a**. The net subsidence contours due to the modified longwall 980 are shown in **Figure 6b**.



Associated subsidence effect contours of principal tilt and horizontal strain have been subsequently derived using the calculus module provided in  $Surfer8^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{B}}}$  and the worst-case subsidence contours. The outcomes are shown in **Figures 7** and **8** respectively.

The modified subsidence contours indicate a minor increase of 50 mm of subsidence between 10 - 2 CTs. The subsidence effect contours due to the modified layout have moved a distance of ~43 m to the north, which is similar to the proposed panel extension length. Subsidence effect contours also indicate a slight increase in magnitude to the east of 10 CT.

The subsidence effect increases in the extension area are expected to be lower than the maximum predicted values estimated for the approved mining area (see Section 7.2). The impact of the changes to the subsidence effect contours are discussed in Section 9.

# 8.0 Pillar Stability Assessment

# 8.1 Modified Barrier Pillars and Existing Main Headings

The proposed extension to LW980 will decrease the width of the barrier pillar between the existing main headings pillars from 100 m to 57 m. The locations of the pillars are shown in **Figure 9**.

Based on a cover depth of 310 m and reference to **Peng and Chiang, 1984**, the barrier pillars and first workings pillars within a distance of 90 m from the limits of extraction are likely to be affected by the abutment loads due to the proposed extension of LW980; see **Figure 10**.

The magnitude of the single abutment loading and the potential for future pillar instability is assessed in the following sections.

## 8.2 Pillar Loading

The estimate of the total stress acting on the proposed barrier and existing main headings pillars has been based on the single abutment loading conditions and the abutment angle concept described in **ACARP**, **1998a**. The total stress acting on the barrier pillar after mining of LW980 may be estimated as follows:

 $\sigma_b$  = barrier pillar load/area = (P<sub>1</sub>+RA)/w<sub>1</sub>l<sub>1</sub>

 $\sigma_{FW}$  = mains pillar load/area = (P<sub>2</sub>+(1-R)A)/w<sub>2</sub>l<sub>2</sub>

where:

 $P_{1,2}$  = full tributary area load of column of rock above the pillars;

 $= (l_i + r)(w_i + r).\rho.g.H;$ 

A = total abutment load acting on the finishing end rib of the longwall in MN/m,

=  $(l+r)\rho g(0.5W'H - W'^2/8tan\phi)$  (for sub-critical panel widths) or

 $= (l+r)(\rho g H^{2} tan \phi)/2$  (for super-critical panel widths);

w = pillar width

1 = pillar length

- r = roadway width.
- $\rho$  = unit weight of overburden 0.025 MPa/m
- $\phi$  = abutment angle (normally 21° adopted for cover depths < 370 m at Angus Place)
- H = depth of cover = 310 m;
- W' = effective panel width (rib to rib distance minus the roadway width). Note: A panel is deemed sub-critical when  $W'/2 < Htan\phi$ .
- R = Proportion of abutment load acting on barrier pillars;

$= 1 - [(D-w-r)/D]^{3}$ $= 1 - [(90 - 57 - 4.8)/90]^{3}$	(where D = distance (m) that load distribution will extend from goaf edge according to <b>Peng &amp; Chiang</b> , <b>1984</b> : D = $5.13\sqrt{H} = 90$ m)
= 0.97	

1-R = Proportion of abutment load acting on first row of main headings pillars adjacent to the barrier.

= 1 - 0.97 = 0.03

## 8.3 Pillar Strength

The strength of the pillars in the Lithgow Seam has been estimated based on the empirical formulae presented **ACARP**, **1998b** and currently widely used in the Australian Coal Industry.

The pillar strength formulae is based on a non-linear power law, which assumes that for a Factor of Safety (FoS) of 1, the pillar panel will have a Probability of Failure (PoF) of 50%. The database includes 'failed' and 'un-failed' pillar panels from the South African and Australian coal industries. The pillars in the data base were all located within super-critical width panels and were all considered to have been subject to full tributary area (FTA) loading conditions.

DgS

The design service load for the barrier pillar will be significantly higher than the FTA loading scenario with only a small proportion of the abutment load (3%) likely to be transferred to the first row of adjacent main headings pillars.

As presented in **ACARP**, **1998b** the FoS of the barrier and main headings pillars were based on the strength formula for 'squat' pillars with w/h ratios > 5 as follows:

$$S = 27.63\Theta^{0.51}(0.29((w/5h)^{2.5} - 1) + 1)/(w^{0.22}h^{0.11})$$

where:

h = pillar height;

 $\Theta$  = a dimensionless 'aspect ratio' factor or w/h ratio in this case.

The pillar width/height ratio is also a very important factor that indicates the post-yield behaviour of the pillars when they are overloaded. The width to height ratio of the pillars in the database ranges from 0.87 to 12 with the failed pillar panels having a w/h range between 0.87 and 8.16. Pillars with w/h ratios < 3 are considered most likely to 'strain-soften' and result in rapid failure and pillar runs, whereas w/h ratios > 5 are more likely to 'strain-harden' and fail slowly or 'squeeze'.

These types of post-yield behaviour have been discussed in ACARP, 2005 and demonstrated in Figure 11 for various in-situ observations and laboratory experiments.

## 8.4 Pillar Stability Assessment Results

The FoS for the barrier and first workings pillars was calculated by dividing the pillar strength, S, with the pillar stress,  $\sigma$ . The results of the stability analysis for the proposed pillars are presented in **Table 3**.

Туре	Pillar Dimensions (w x l x h) (m)	Pillar Strength (MPa)	Pillar Stress (MPa)	Pillar FoS	Pillar w/h Ratio
Barriers	56.8 x 164.4 x 3.25	89.59	16.74	5.35	10.8
	56.8 x 57.6 x 3.25	73.45	17.62	4.17	10.8
Mains	35.2 x 104.3 x 3.25	36.93	9.62	3.84	10.8
	35.2 x 95.3 x 3.25	36.43	9.66	3.74	17.5
	35.2 x 57.6 x 3.25	33.58	9.97	3.37	17.5

Table 3 - Predicted FoS for Barrier Pillars and Main Headings Pillars

The likelihood of chain pillar instability occurring in the proposed mine workings has been assessed based on reference to probability of failure correlations presented in *Table 12* in **ACARP, 1998b**; see **Figure 12**.

The probability of failure when pillar FoS > 2.11 is < 1 in 1,000,000 for the proposed pillars.

It is assessed that the potential for long-term instability of the proposed pillars is 'very unlikely' due to their high FoS under service loads and 'squat' geometry, which will provide a high degree of natural stability should the pillar ribs deteriorate. The high pillar width/height ratio (>10) will also provide adequate support to the immediate roof strata if pillars are formed beneath geological structure.

The stability of the roof and floor strata under service loading should also be considered in the long-term subsidence assessment.

# 8.5 Bearing Capacity of Roof and Floor Strata

The bearing capacity of the roof/floor strata and chain pillar strength was firstly checked before appropriate rock mass Young's Modulii values were assigned for subsidence prediction under the assessed loading conditions.

Reference to **Pells** *et al*, **1998** indicates that the bearing capacity of sedimentary rock under shallow footing type loading conditions is 3 to 5 times its UCS strength. Based on the estimated minimum UCS of 15 MPa in the immediate coal roof strata, the general bearing capacity is estimated to range between 45 and 75 MPa.

Considering the predicted average pillar service stress values from 9.6 to 17.6 MPa, an overall FoS against average roof and floor bearing failure is > 2.5 for the pillar width geometry proposed, and likely to be within the elastic behaviour range for these materials (i.e. the average pillar roof stress is < 40% of the strata strength).



## 9.0 Predicted Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences

#### 9.1 **Previously Approved Subsidence Impacts**

The previous assessment of the worst-case impacts and environmental consequences due to the predicted subsidence effects for LWs 920 to 980 were presented in **DgS**, 2010 and are summarised below:

• Minor surface cracking and shearing within tensile and compressive strain zones above the extracted panels. The cracks were estimated to range in width from 1 mm to 20 mm where deep soil profiles exist.

Worst-case scenarios indicated by the predictions, suggest that where surface rock exposures exist, local strain concentrations could result in tapered vertical cracks of up to 90 mm width near tensile strain peaks or low angled shearing in compressive strain zones.

An increase or decrease of surface gradients of up to  $0.3^{\circ}$  (0.5%) along ephemeral watercourses or gullies that exist above the proposed longwall panels. There is also the potential for a minor increase in erosion and sedimentation along creek beds after several storm events or until a new equilibrium is reached.

- Gully stormwater or groundwater seepage flows may be re-routed to below-surface pathways and re-surface down-stream of cracked areas where shallow surface rock is present. The temporary loss of surface water flows is unlikely to occur where deep alluvial soil profiles exist. Creek bed sediment is likely to infill any surface cracking during storm events.
- Ponding depths of < 0.1 m may develop along creeks and flatter areas above the proposed longwalls. Any increases of existing ponded areas or development of new ponds are likely to be in-channel and unlikely to cause significant impact to the existing environmental conditions.
- Direct hydraulic connection from the surface to the mine workings due to sub-surface fracturing is considered 'very unlikely'. Continuous fracturing is not expected to develop above massive sandstone units of the Narrabeen Formation, which exist between 110 m and 250 m above the workings.
- Based on shallow piezometer and borehole extensometer monitoring results from the neighbouring Springvale Mine, in-direct or discontinuous sub-surface fracturing is 'very unlikely' to interact with surface cracks or effect the near surface groundwater regime.

The presence of 'plastic' shale beds and the Mount York Claystone unit, which exists between the massive Narrabeen Group sandstone units, is understood to provide significant protection from permanent drainage of surface aquifers through surface and subsurface fracture / joint interconnection.

The Constrained and Elastic Zones in the spanning overburden however, will have the greatest effect on reducing upper sub-surface aquifer losses to the Fractured Zone above the extracted longwall panels. The groundwater losses are expected to be limited to magnitudes that are lower than surface recharge levels.

The forest access tracks above the proposed panels are managed by the Forestry Corporation of NSW (FCNSW). These tracks are accessible to the public. The tracks are likely to be affected by vertical cracking or low angle compressive shearing. The typical crack widths are estimated to range between 1 mm and 20 mm where the tracks pass through the tensile and compressive strain zones above each longwall panel. Worst-case crack widths of up to 90 mm across the tracks may occur if surface rock exists near tensile strain peaks. A worst case assessment predicts that approximately 50 m to 100 m of the road above each longwall may be impacted by cracking.

There are no access tracks above the proposed LW980 modification area.

## 9.2 Review of Predicted v. Measured Subsidence Effects for LWs 920 to 970

As a component of the Longwalls 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan being prepared by Angus Place and to satisfy the requirements of Schedule 3, Condition 3C(e) of PA 06\_0021 (Mod 1), DgS has recently undertaken a review of the subsidence prediction as outlined in the subsidence assessment for LWs 900W and 910 (**DgS, 2013**). This review is required to incorporate "*any relevant information obtained*" since approval of PA 06\_0021 (Mod 1). Mod 1 was approved in August 2011 and since this time Angus Place has completed the secondary extraction of LWs 960 and 970.

The outcome of the subsidence prediction versus measurement review is that the methodology used to include the effects of geological structure and surface topography appears to give a conservative, but reliable suite of 'smooth profile' and discontinuous subsidence effect predictions.

What is also clear from the subsidence review is that while subsidence beneath the Kangaroo Creek and East Wolgan Creek lineaments has not been increased, tilt, curvature and strain increases have still occurred due to discontinuous strata behaviour such as buckling and cracking around the valleys. The predictions for tilt and strain around valleys should therefore be based on 'fault-affected' values rather than non-fault affected ones. The predicted tilt values for plateaus were recommended to be increased by 50% in valleys based on measured results to-date.

It is also apparent that higher subsidence and strains were observed above Narrow Creek due to LW 940 than those associated with Kangaroo Creek (above LW 970), despite LW 940's reduced panel width of 260 m. As the terrain is steeper above Narrow Creek compared to Kangaroo Creek, it is still considered reasonable to distinguish between incised and broad valleys when estimating subsidence effects and their impact.

The above outcomes are considered to be associated with surface topography and geological structure conditions that do not exist above the proposed modification to LW980.



## 9.3 Environmental Consequence Review for LWs 920 to 970

The observed impacts to-date are summarised on **Figure 4** and detailed in the relevant End of Panel (EoP) Subsidence Assessment Reports and **DgS**, 2013. The review has not identified any impacts in excess of the environmental consequences defined as 'minor impact' in the Project Approval.

## 9.4 Predicted Impacts due to the Proposed Amendments to LW980

Based on the negligible increases to the predicted subsidence effects for LW980 and 'minor' impacts observed to-date above LWs 920 to 970, it is assessed that the impacts due to the proposed modification to LW980 are expected to remain within the predicted range of environmental consequences outlined in **DgS**, 2010.

## **10.0** Survey Monitoring Recommendations

It is recommended that an additional centreline be installed as shown in **Figure 13** to measure the subsidence effect profiles within the modification area after the extraction of LW 980, to (i) review the predictions and impacts for end of panel report and (ii) to assess the performance of the existing main headings pillars and reduced width barrier pillar.

DgS

For and on behalf of **Ditton Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd** 

Arth

Steven Ditton Principal Engineer

Attachments:

Figures 1 to 13

## **References:**

ACARP, 1998a. ACARP Project No. C6036, Chain Pillar Design (Calibration of ALPS). Collwell, M. Collwell Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd.

ACARP, 1998b, ACARP Project No. C5024, Establishing the Strength of Rectangular and Irregular Pillars. Galvin, J.M., Hebblewhite, B.K., Salamon, M.D.G., Lin, B.B.

ACARP, 2003. Project No. C10023, Review of Industry Subsidence Data in Relation to the Impact of Significant Variations in Overburden Lithology and Initial Assessment of Sub-Surface Fracturing on Groundwater, Ditton, S. and Frith, R. Strata Engineering Report No. 00-181-ACR/1 (September).

ACARP, 2005. **Systems Approach to Pillar Design**. Strata Control Technologies Pty Ltd, UNSW School of Mining, Coffey Geosciences Pty Ltd. ACARP Project No. C9018. (May).

DgS, 2010. Subsidence Prediction and Impact Assessment Review of LWs 920 to 980 at the Centennial Angus Place Colliery, Lidsdale. DgS Report No. ANP-001/1 (24/10/2010).

DgS, 2011. Review of Geological Structure on Predicted Mine Subsidence Effects and Environmental Impacts for the Proposed Angus Place LWs 900W - 910 and Springvale LWs 415 - 417. DgS Report No. ANP-002/2 (15/09/2011).

DgS, 2013. Subsidence Assessment for the Proposed Amendments to Longwalls 900 West and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan, Centennial Angus Place Colliery. DgS Report No. ANP-002/7 (19/03/13).

Palaris, 2013. Geological Structure Zones in Angus Place and Springvale Mine Extension Areas. Palaris Report No. CEY1504-01 (January 2013).

Pells *et al*, 1998. Foundations on Sandstone and Shale in the Sydney Region, Pells, P.J.N., Mostyn, G. and Walker, B.F..Australian Geomechanics Journal.

Peng and Chiang, 1984. Longwall Mining. S.S. Peng & H.S. Chiang. Wiley.

































Attachment 2:

Subsidence Assesment Longwall 900W

**Angus Place Colliery** 

October 2013



Ditton Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd 82 Roslyn Avenue Charlestown NSW 2290 PO Box 5100 Kahibah NSW 2290



28 October, 2013

Natalie Conroy Environmental Coordinator Centennial Angus Place Colliery Pty Ltd PO Box 42 WALLERAWANG NSW 2845

Report No. ANP-002/8

Dear Natalie,

# Subject: Subsidence Assessment on the Proposed Modification to Longwall 900W, Centennial Angus Place Colliery, Lidsdale

# 1.0 Introduction

As requested by Centennial Angus Place Colliery (Angus Place), Ditton Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd (DgS) has completed a subsidence assessment on the proposed changes to Longwall 900W for inclusion in an Environmental Assessment Modification submission to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DP&I).

The modification to the proposed longwall panel geometry includes:

• The extension of LW900W by 104.8 m into the barrier pillar towards the north at an extraction height up to 3.425m.

It is possible that some sections of the proposed extension area will not be mined above 3.25m where roof bolts have been installed in access headings. For the purposes of worst-case subsidence assessment, it has been assumed that the increased mining height will be extracted across all of the extension area.

The proposed modification to the original mining layout that was presented in the development consent is shown in **Figure 1**.

## 2.0 Background

Angus Place received approval to modify PA 06\_0021 (Mod 1) in August 2011 from the Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DP&I) under the provisions of Part 3A of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act). The changes included approval for the development and extraction of two additional longwall panels (LWs 900W and 910).

## 3.0 Scope of Work

Angus Place is currently preparing the Longwalls 900W and 910 Integrated Subsidence Management Plan (SMP)/Extraction Plan for submission to the DP&I and the Department of Trade and Investment, Regional Infrastructure and Services – Division of Resources and Energy. This application is seeking approval to undertake secondary extraction within the currently approved Longwalls 900W and 910. As a component of this application, DgS has completed a Subsidence Assessment Review for the Longwalls 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan, Centennial Angus Place Colliery (**DgS, 2013a**). This assessment has been prepared to consider "*any relevant information obtained*" since approval of PA 06\_0021 (Mod 1) including the increased extraction height in the currently approved LW 900W (20CT to 3CT) from 3.25m to 3.425m.

This report has been prepared to include the following scope of work:

- A summary of the maximum predicted subsidence effects and impacts above LW900W resulting from the proposed 104.8 m extension to LW900W between 3 CT and 1 CT. The mining height is likely to range between 3.25 m and 3.425 m.
- A summary of the maximum predicted subsidence effects and impacts resulting from the previous mining layout as outlined in **DgS**, 2013a, including the increased extraction height from 3.25 m to 3.425 m between 20CT to 3CT.
- Predicted net and cumulative subsidence effect contours resulting from the proposed mining layouts and proposed extension to LW900W. *Note: This assessment has conservatively modelled worst case subsidence by assuming that the entire extension area will be extracted at a mining height of 3.425 m.*
- An assessment of the stability of the first workings and reduced width barrier pillars in the vicinity of the proposed extension to LW 900W and following extraction of the modified LW980 mining layout; see **Figure 1** (refer to **DgS, 2013b**).

## 4.0 Mining Geometry

Details of the proposed mine workings geometry and modifications are summarised below:

- The currently approved longwall 900W has a void width of 293 m and a length of 2.087 km. The depth of cover to the Lithgow Seam ranges from 300 m to 320 m. The existing and proposed panel geometries indicate critical panel width/cover depth ratios ranging from 0.92 to 0.98; see **Figure 2**.
- As outlined in the Longwalls 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan, Angus Place propose to undertake extraction of the currently approved LW 900W (between 20 CT and 3 CT)at a mining height of 3.425 m.
- The proposed panel extension of 104.8 m between 3 CT to 1 CT for LW900W represents a similar reduction in barrier pillar width from 145.8 m to 41.0 m. The barrier pillars will have lengths of 58 m and 220 m.
- The pillar may also be extracted at the increased mining height of 3.425 m away from the existing access headings within the extension area. An average pillar height of 3.34 m has therefore been assumed in this assessment.
- There is one row of main headings pillars to the north of the reduced width barrier pillar for LW900W. The pillars are 51.4 m wide with lengths ranging from 58 m to 116 m. The main headings pillars and reduced width barrier pillars are likely to be subject to increased abutment loading after the modified 900W panel is completed.

## 5.0 Surface Features

The modified longwall panel area will be extracted below the Newnes State Forest, which is largely vegetated by eucalypt tree species and shrubs. The terrain is gently undulated with broad crested gullies draining towards the north and north east. Ground slopes are generally  $< 10^{\circ}$ ; see **Figures 1** to **3**.

There are no existing surface developments within the Design Angle of Draw (AoD) of 26.5° from the proposed longwall extraction limit modification.

There are also no sensitive natural features such as cliff lines > 20 m in height, rock features between 5 m and 20 m height, Aboriginal Heritage Sites, endangered ecological communities (EECs Species Act) within an AoD distance of  $26.5^{\circ}$  (0.5 times the cover depth) of the proposed panel modification.

There is one first order tributary of Kangaroo Creek located above the proposed LW900W extension area; see **Figure 1**. Potential subsidence effects to this first order tributary were assessed in the Angus Place Colliery 75W Modification Surface Water Assessment (**GHD**, **2010**).
### 6.0 Geology

The surface lithology consists of a shallow residual or alluvial sandy soil cover to a depth 1 m to 5 m overlying highly weathered sandstones of the Burralow Formation with low to very low strength (UCS <20 MPa). Massive, high strength sandstone units of the Narrabeen Group's Banks Wall and Burra-Moko Head Formations exist between depths of 50 m to 200 m and are likely to reduce subsidence due to 'bridging' or natural 'arching' behaviour.

The strata below the massive sandstone units consist of thinly bedded sandstone and siltstone of the Narrabeen Groups' Caley Formation, which exists immediately above the Permian Illawarra Coal Measures. The measures include the 10 m thick Katoomba / Little Riverdale Seams, interbedded sandstone, coal, shale and mudstone of low to moderate strength and the Lidsdale/Lithgow Seams.

Known regional geological structures within the Angus Place Holding consists of normal, reverse and strike slip faulting associated with the Wolgan River and Kangaroo Creek Lineaments.

The structures associated with the lineaments are mid-angled to sub-vertical (i.e. dip angles range from  $35^{\circ}$  to  $80^{\circ}$ ) and oriented on a NNE, NNW and NW strike, see **Figure 4**. The normal and reverse fault throws range from 0.1 m to 1.0 m and the strike - slip faults have displacements of several metres.

The location, categorisation and likely influence of the structures on the overburden and subsidence above the proposed LW980 modification area has been broadly assessed in **Palaris, 2013** and summarised in **Section 7.1**.



## 7.0 Maximum Subsidence Effect Predictions

## 7.1 Geological Structure Effects on Subsidence Predictions

The influence of geological structure on predicted subsidence for LW900W was assessed in **DgS**, 2010 and based on measured subsidence effects above LWs 920 to 950. **DgS**, 2013a reviewed the influence of geological structures on predicted subsidence for LW900W based on measured subsidence effects above LWs 960 and 970.

**Palaris, 2013** and **DgS, 2011** has established that there are four types (Type 1 to 4) of geological structure within the Angus Place Holding that appear to have had some to no effect on subsidence measurement. A summary of each structure type and its effect on subsidence development is presented below:

- In-seam mapping and surface interpretation work indicates several Major Type 1 faults associated with East Wolgan, Narrow and Kangaroo Creeks. These faults are associated with the Wolgan River and Kangaroo Creek Lineaments and have incised valleys and plateau areas. Subsidence monitoring indicates that there have been subsidence increases above the incised valley sections of up to 1 m. Increased tilt and compressive strains have also occurred in the valleys.
- Type 2 faulting is similar to Type 1, however, it is not as persistent as Type 1 structure with only limited surface expression (e.g. single sided valleys or steep slopes). Subsidence increase potential above Type 2 structure is unknown at this stage as they have not yet been undermined by any Angus Place longwalls.
- Minor Type 3 faulting commonly exists at seam level but show no surface expression across the mining area (e.g. mildly undulating terrain and plateau areas). Subsidence monitoring indicates that there have been no subsidence effect increases above the Type 3 structure areas.
- Type 4 structures are basement structures only, which, despite being common, do not have structural features at the Lithgow Seam level or have expression at the surface. No surface subsidence changes have occurred above Type 4 structure.

Reference to **Palaris, 2013** indicates that there are no geological structures associated with the proposed LW900W extension area; see **Figure 4**.

## 7.2 Predicted Maximum Subsidence Effects

The maximum subsidence effects for the proposed modification to LW900W have been predicted based on reference to ACARP, 2003 and the same methodology described in DgS, 2010.

The area of proposed modification to LW900W is considered to be outside the fault affected zones (see **Figure 5**) and within a broad valley (see **Figure 3**). The predicted subsidence effects are therefore unlikely to be affected by the faulting or significant valley bulging



phenomena. It is considered that the overburden above the proposed LW900W modification area is likely to have 'high' subsidence reduction potential due to the massive strata of the Banks Wall and Burra-Moko Sandstone units.

The predicted maximum final subsidence effects due to the proposed LW900W extension are presented in **Table 1**.

Location	Mining Height (m)	Cover Depth (m)	Subsidence S <sub>max</sub> (m)	Tilt T <sub>max</sub> (mm/m)	Tensile Strain (mm/m)	Compressive Strain (mm/m)	Surface Cracking width		
							( <b>mm</b> )		
900W^	3.25	295	0.15 - 0.04	2.5 - 0	3.2 - 0.5	0	<50		
Extended	3.425	295	0.80 - 0.15	13 - 2.5	3.5 - 2.5	0 - 1	<50		
Change	0.175	-	0.65 - 0.11	10.5 - 2.5	0.3 - 2.0	<1	nil		

Cable 1 - Maximum Subsidence Effect Predictions for the Proposed Modifications to
LW900W

^ - Predictions based on subsidence effect contours presented in Section 7.3.

The cumulative subsidence effects associated with the increased mining height of 3.425 m within the currently approved LW900W (20CT to 3CT), as assessed in **DgS**, 2013a, have also been considered in this assessment and are presented in **Table 2**.

 

 Table 2 – Maximum Subsidence Effect Prediction for Increased Extraction Height in LW900W (20CT to 3CT)

Location	Mining Height (m)	Cover Depth (m)	Subsidence S <sub>max</sub> (m)	Tilt T <sub>max</sub> (mm/m)	Tensile Strain (mm/m)	Compressive Strain (mm/m)	Surface Cracking width (mm)
900W*	3.25	310	0.98 - 1.31	7 - 10	2.9 - 4.3	3.6 - 5.4	<50
20 - 3 CT	3.425	310	1.03 - 1.38	7 - 11	3.0 - 4.5	3.8 - 5.7	<50
Change	0.175	-	0.06 - 0.08	<1	< 0.2	< 0.3	nil

\* - Subsidence effect predictions for the approved mining layout and 3.25 m mining height presented in *Table 7* of **DgS**, 2010.

The proposed 5% increase in mining height within the currently approved LW900W (20CT to 3CT) indicates only minor changes to the previously assessed subsidence values presented in **DgS**, 2010.

The previously predicted subsidence in the extension area will increase between 0.11 m and 0.65 m with tilt increasing from 2.5 mm/m to 13 mm/m. Tensile and compressive strains will also increase by 1 to 2 mm/m.

The predicted impacts due to the proposed modifications remain unchanged.

## 7.3 **Predicted Subsidence Contour Effects**

Based on the calibrated SDPS<sup>®</sup> model presented in **DgS**, 2010, predictions of cumulative worst-case subsidence contours for the approved and modified LWs 900W and 980 mining



layout are shown in **Figure 6a**. The net subsidence contours due to the modified longwall 900W are shown in **Figure 6b**.

Associated subsidence effect contours of principal tilt and horizontal strain have been subsequently derived using the calculus module provided in Surfer8<sup>®</sup> and the worst-case subsidence contours. The outcomes are shown in **Figures 7** and **8** respectively.

The modified subsidence contours indicate an increase of 60 mm to 80 mm in maximum subsidence between 20 CT and 3 CT, resulting for the increased extraction height to 3.425 m as assessed in **DgS**, 2013a. The subsidence effect contours due to the modified layout have moved a distance of ~100 m to the north, which is similar to the proposed panel extension length. The subsidence effect increases in the extension area are expected to be lower than the maximum predicted values estimated for the approved mining area (see Section 7.2). The impact of the changes to the subsidence effect contours are discussed in Section 9.



#### 8.0 Pillar Stability Assessment

## 8.1 Modified Barrier Pillars and Existing Main Headings

The proposed extension to LW900W will decrease the width of the barrier pillar to the north from 145.8 m to 41.0 m. The proposed extension to LW980 will decrease the width of the barrier pillar between the existing main headings pillars to the west from 100 m to 57 m.

The locations of the affected pillars to the east and north of LW 900W are shown in Figure 9.

Based on a cover depth of 295 m and 310 m, **Peng and Chiang, 1984** estimates the abutment loads due to the extraction of LW900W are likely to affect the barrier pillars and/or the first workings pillars within a distance of 87 m and 90 m from the limits of extraction respectively; see **Figure 10**.

The magnitude of the single abutment loading and the potential for future pillar instability is assessed in the following sections.

### 8.2 Pillar Loading

The estimate of the total stress acting on the proposed barrier and existing main headings pillars has been based on the single abutment loading conditions and the abutment angle concept described in **ACARP**, **1998a**. The total stress acting on the barrier and first workings pillars after mining of LW980 and 900W may be estimated as follows:

 $\sigma_b$  = barrier pillar load/area = (P<sub>1</sub>+RA)/w<sub>1</sub>l<sub>1</sub>

 $\sigma_{FW}$  = mains pillar load/area = (P<sub>2</sub>+(1-R)A)/w<sub>2</sub>l<sub>2</sub>

where:

 $P_{1,2}$  = full tributary area load of column of rock above the pillars;

 $= (l_i + r)(w_i + r).\rho.g.H;$ 

A = total abutment load acting on the finishing end rib of the longwall in MN/m,

$= (1+r)\rho g(0.5W'H - W'^2/8tan\phi)$	(for sub-critical panel widths) or
---	------------------------------------

=  $(1+r)(\rho g H^2 tan \phi)/2$  (for super-critical panel widths);

w = pillar width

1 = pillar length

r = roadway width.

- $\rho$  = unit weight of overburden 0.025 MPa/m
- $\phi$  = abutment angle (normally 21° adopted for cover depths < 370 m at Angus Place)
- H = depth of cover = 295 m to 310 m;
- W' = effective panel width (rib to rib distance minus the roadway width). Note: A panel is deemed sub-critical when W'/2 < Htan $\phi$  or W/H <0.77 for  $\phi = 21^{\circ}$ .
- R = Proportion of abutment load acting on first row of pillars next to the goaf;

 $= 1 - [(D-w-r)/D]^{3}$  (where D = distance (m) that load distribution will extend from goaf edge according to **Peng & Chiang**, **1984**: D = 5.13 \sqrt{H} = 87 to 90 m)

= 0.83 for H=310 m; w=35 m, and

= 0.89 for H=290 m; w=41 m.

1-R = Proportion of abutment load acting on next row(s) of pillars adjacent to the barrier.

= 1 - 0.83 = 0.17 for H=310 m; w=35 m, and

= 1 - 0.89 = 0.11 for H=290 m; w=41 m.

## 8.3 Pillar Strength

The strength of the pillars in the Lithgow Seam has been estimated based on the empirical formulae presented ACARP, 1998b and currently widely used in the Australian Coal Industry.

The pillar strength formulae is based on a non-linear power law, which assumes that for a Factor of Safety (FoS) of 1, the pillar panel will have a Probability of Failure (PoF) of 50%. The database includes 'failed' and 'un-failed' pillar panels from the South African and Australian coal industries. The pillars in the data base were all located within super-critical width panels and were all considered to have been subject to full tributary area (FTA) loading conditions.

The design service load for the barrier pillar will be significantly higher than the FTA loading scenario with only a small proportion of the abutment load (3%) likely to be transferred to the first row of adjacent main headings pillars.

As presented in **ACARP**, **1998b** the FoS of the barrier and main headings pillars were based on the strength formula for 'squat' pillars with w/h ratios > 5 as follows:

S =  $27.63\Theta^{0.51}(0.29((w/5h)^{2.5} - 1) + 1)/(w^{0.22}h^{0.11})$ 



where:

- h = pillar height;
- $\Theta$  = a dimensionless 'aspect ratio' factor or w/h ratio in this case.

The pillar width/height ratio is also a very important factor that indicates the post-yield behaviour of the pillars when they are overloaded. The width to height ratio of the pillars in the database ranges from 0.87 to 12 with the failed pillar panels having a w/h range between 0.87 and 8.16. Pillars with w/h ratios < 3 are considered most likely to 'strain-soften' and result in rapid failure and pillar runs, whereas w/h ratios > 5 are more likely to 'strain-harden' and fail slowly or 'squeeze' due to greater pillar core confinement.

These types of post-yield behaviour have been discussed in ACARP, 2005 and demonstrated in Figure 11 for various in-situ observations and laboratory experiments.

The potential of pre-mature pillar failure should be considered where moisture sensitive mudstones and claystones can significantly reduce the lateral confinement that can be developed or mobilised in the roof, floor and pillar itself. It is understood that the immediate coal roof and floor conditions have not caused a reduction in pillar strength at Angus Place to-date.

# 8.4 Pillar Stability Assessment Results

The FoS for the barrier and first workings pillars was calculated by dividing the pillar strength, S, with the pillar stress,  $\sigma$ . The results of the stability analysis for the proposed pillars are presented in **Table 3**.

Туре	Pillar Dimensions (w x l x h) (m)	Pillar Strength (MPa)	Pillar Stress (MPa)	Pillar FoS	Pillar w/h Ratio
	Pillars to the Eas	t of LW900W*	· · ·		
Barriers	56.8 x 164.4 x 3.34	84.00	16.74	5.02	10.8
	56.8 x 57.6 x 3.34	68.87	17.62	3.91	10.8
Mains	35.2 x 104.3 x 3.25	36.93	20.55	1.80	10.8
	35.2 x 95.3 x 3.25	36.49	20.66	1.77	17.0
	35.2 x 57.6 x 3.25	33.58	21.28	1.58	17.0
	Pillars to the Nor	th of LW900W			
Barriers	41.0 x 58.2 x 3.34	40.00	18.27	2.19	12.3
	41 x 219.7 x 3.34	48.11	17.25	2.79	12.3
Mains	51.4 x 58.2 x 3.25	61.66	9.52	6.48	15.8
	51.4 x 99.5 x 3.25	68.96	9.21	7.48	15.8
	51.4 x 115.9 x 3.25	70.67	9.16	7.72	15.8

\* - Abutment loads are due to LWs 980 and 900W



The likelihood of chain pillar instability occurring in the proposed mine workings has been assessed based on reference to probability of failure correlations presented in *Table 12* in **ACARP, 1998b**; see **Figure 12**.

The probability of failure when pillar FoS > 2.11 is < 1 in 1,000,000 for the proposed pillars to the north of LW900W. The probability of failure when pillar FoS > 1.6 is < 1 in 10,000 for the proposed pillars to the east of LW900W.

It is assessed that the potential for long-term instability of the proposed pillars is 'very unlikely' due to their high FoS under service loads and 'squat' geometry, which will provide a high degree of natural stability should the pillar ribs deteriorate. The high pillar width/height ratio (>10) will also provide adequate support to the immediate roof strata if pillars are formed beneath geological structure.

The stability of the roof and floor strata under service loading should also be considered in the long-term subsidence assessment.

## 8.5 Bearing Capacity of Roof and Floor Strata

The bearing capacity of the roof/floor strata and chain pillar strength was firstly checked before appropriate rock mass Young's Modulii values were assigned for subsidence prediction under the assessed loading conditions.

Reference to **Pells** *et al*, **1998** indicates that the bearing capacity of sedimentary rock under shallow footing type loading conditions is 3 to 5 times its UCS strength. Based on the estimated minimum UCS of 15 MPa in the immediate coal roof strata, the general bearing capacity is estimated to range between 45 and 75 MPa.

Considering the predicted average pillar service stress values from 9.2 to 21.3 MPa, an overall FoS against average roof and floor bearing failure is > 2 for the pillar width geometry proposed, and likely to be within the elastic behaviour range for these materials (i.e. the average pillar roof stress is < 50% of the strata strength).



### 9.0 Predicted Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences

#### 9.1 **Previously Approved Subsidence Impacts**

The previous assessment of the worst-case impacts and environmental consequences due to the predicted subsidence effects for LW 900W were presented in **DgS**, 2010 and are summarised below:

• Minor surface cracking and shearing may develop within tensile and compressive strain zones above the extracted panels and range in width between 1 mm to 20 mm based on the observed cracking over LWs 920 to 950. Localised cracking of up to 90 mm wide is possible where near surface competent bed rock is exposed near the predicted strain peaks.

It is however considered unlikely that the cracks will occur as a single crack where deep soil or weathered surface rock exists, and likely to consist of several smaller width ones.

• Repairs may be required to some of the wider and deeper cracks in the vicinity of roads and public access areas. Should the worst case scenario eventuate, some remediation of dry creek beds may also be necessary in consultation with relevant stakeholders and government agencies.

There are no access tracks above the proposed LW900W extension area.

- Cliffs and rock formations are also located outside the Design AoD of 26.5° from the ends of the proposed 900W and very unlikely to be impacted by tilting or strain.
- The increase or decrease of surface gradients of up to 0.5° (1%) along ephemeral watercourses or gullies that exist above the proposed longwall panels. There is the potential for minor increases in erosion and sedimentation along creek beds after several storm events or until a new equilibrium is reached. This should be monitored both pre and post mining. There has been no erosion impact noted above the previously extracted Angus Place longwalls however.
- Gully stormwater or groundwater seepage flows may be re-routed to below-surface pathways and re-surface down-stream of cracked areas where shallow surface rock is present. The temporary loss of surface water flows is unlikely to occur where deep alluvial soil profiles exist and creek bed sediment is expected to infill surface cracks after several storm events.
- Direct hydraulic connection from the surface to the mine workings due to sub-surface fracturing is considered 'very unlikely'. Continuous fracturing is not expected to develop above massive sandstone units of the Narrabeen Formation, which exist between 110 and 250 m above the workings.
- Based on shallow piezometer monitoring results above LWs 920 to 950, in-direct or 'discontinuous' sub-surface fracturing is 'very unlikely' to interact with surface cracks or effect the near surface groundwater regime.

• The presence of 'plastic' shale beds and the Mount York Claystone unit, which exists between the massive Narrabeen Group sandstone units, is understood to provide protection from permanent drainage of surface aquifers through surface and subsurface fracture / joint interconnection.

# 9.2 Review of Predicted v. Measured Subsidence Effects for LWs 920 to 970

As a component of the Longwalls 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan being prepared by Angus Place and to satisfy the requirements of Schedule 3, Condition 3C(e) of PA 06\_0021 (Mod 1), DgS has recently undertaken a review of the subsidence prediction as outlined in the subsidence assessment for LWs 900W and 910 (**DgS, 2013a**). This review is required to incorporate "*any relevant information obtained*" since approval of PA 06\_0021 (Mod 1). Mod 1 was approved in August 2011 and since this time Angus Place has completed the secondary extraction of LWs 960 and 970.

The outcome of the subsidence prediction versus measurement review is that the methodology used to include the effects of geological structure and surface topography appears to give a conservative, but reliable suite of 'smooth profile' and discontinuous subsidence effect predictions.

What is also clear from the subsidence review is that while subsidence beneath the Kangaroo Creek and East Wolgan Creek lineaments has not been increased, tilt, curvature and strain increases have still occurred due to discontinuous strata behaviour such as buckling and cracking around the valleys. The predictions for tilt and strain around valleys should therefore be based on 'fault-affected' values rather than non-fault affected ones. The predicted tilt values for plateaus were recommended to be increased by 50% in valleys based on measured results to-date.

It is also apparent that higher subsidence and strains were observed above Narrow Creek due to LW 940 than those associated with Kangaroo Creek (above LW 970), despite LW 940's reduced panel width of 260 m. As the terrain is steeper above Narrow Creek compared to Kangaroo Creek, it is still considered reasonable to distinguish between incised and broad valleys when estimating subsidence effects and their impact.

The above outcomes are considered to be associated with surface topography and geological structure conditions that do not exist above the proposed modification to LW900W.

# 9.3 Environmental Consequence Review for LWs 920 to 970

The observed impacts to-date are summarised on **Figure 4** and detailed in the relevant End of Panel (EoP) Subsidence Assessment Reports and **DgS**, **2013a**. The review has not identified any impacts in excess of the environmental consequences defined as 'minor impact' in the Project Approval.



## 9.4 Predicted Impacts due to the Proposed Amendments to LW900W

Based on the increased area of predicted subsidence effects for LW900W and 'minor' impacts observed to-date above LWs 920 to 970, it is assessed that the impacts due to the proposed modification to LW900W are expected to remain within the predicted range of environmental consequences outlined in **DgS**, 2010.

#### **10.0** Survey Monitoring Recommendations

It is not considered necessary to install a finishing point centreline to measure subsidence effects within the LW900W extension area due to the lack of sensitive surface features within the area.

For and on behalf of **Ditton Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd** 

Steven Ditton Principal Engineer

#### Attachments:

Figures 1 to 13

#### **References:**

ACARP, 1998a. ACARP Project No. C6036, Chain Pillar Design (Calibration of ALPS). Collwell, M. Collwell Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd.

ACARP, 1998b, ACARP Project No. C5024, Establishing the Strength of Rectangular and Irregular Pillars. Galvin, J.M., Hebblewhite, B.K., Salamon, M.D.G., Lin, B.B.

ACARP, 2003. Project No. C10023, Review of Industry Subsidence Data in Relation to the Impact of Significant Variations in Overburden Lithology and Initial Assessment of Sub-Surface Fracturing on Groundwater, Ditton, S. and Frith, R. Strata Engineering Report No. 00-181-ACR/1 (September).

ACARP, 2005. Systems Approach to Pillar Design. Strata Control Technologies Pty Ltd, UNSW School of Mining, Coffey Geosciences Pty Ltd. ACARP Project No. C9018. (May).

DgS, 2010. Subsidence Prediction and Impact Assessment of LWs 910 and 900 West at the Centennial Angus Place Colliery, Lidsdale. DgS Report No. ANP-002/1 (11/10/2010).

DgS, 2011. Review of Geological Structure on Predicted Mine Subsidence Effects and Environmental Impacts for the Proposed Angus Place LWs 900W - 910 and Springvale LWs 415 - 417. DgS Report No. ANP-002/2 (15/09/2011).

DgS, 2013a. Subsidence Assessment Review for the Longwalls 900 West and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan, Centennial Angus Place Colliery. DgS Report No. ANP-002/7 (23/10/13).

DgS, 2013b. Subsidence Assessment on the Proposed Modification to Longwall 980, Centennial Angus Place Colliery, Lidsdale. DgS Report No. ANP-001/5 (17/10/13)

GHD, 2010. Angus Place Colliery 75W Modification Surface Water Assessment. GHD Report No. 22/14985/89724.

Palaris, 2013. Geological Structure Zones in Angus Place and Springvale Mine Extension Areas. Palaris Report No. CEY1504-01 (January 2013).

Pells *et al*, 1998. Foundations on Sandstone and Shale in the Sydney Region, Pells, P.J.N., Mostyn, G. and Walker, B.F..Australian Geomechanics Journal.

Peng and Chiang, 1984. Longwall Mining. S.S. Peng & H.S. Chiang. Wiley.





















Services Pty Ltd

Scale:

1:1,500 Figure No:

9













Attachment 3:

**Consultation Log** 

**Angus Place Colliery** 

October 2013





T: 61 2 6354 8700 F: 61 2 6355 1493 E: info@centennialcoal.com.au W: www.centennialcoal.com.au

## CONSULTATION FOR SMP VARIATION FOR LONGWALL 980 EXTENSION

Angus Place has commenced consultation with the SMP Interagency Committee on the proposed modification. Consultation has taken place with relevant agencies as per **Table 1**.

# Table 1: Correspondence regarding LW980 SMP Variation

Date	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose	
	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Conceptingence	or consultation	
27/08/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTIRIS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Draft PowerPoint presentation for meeting on 29/8/13 regarding proposed extraction changes with Angus Place	
27/08/13	Paul Langley	DTIRIS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Draft PowerPoint presentation for meeting on 29/8/13 regarding proposed extraction changes with Angus Place	
27/08/13	Ray Ramage	DTIRIS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Draft PowerPoint presentation for meeting on 29/8/13 regarding proposed extraction changes with Angus Place	

Date	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of Correspondence	Summary/Purpose	
	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	••••••		
27/08/13	Jenny Mulcahy	DTIRIS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Draft PowerPoint presentation for meeting on 29/8/13 regarding proposed extraction changes with Angus Place	
29/08/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTIRIS	Project Team	Angus Place	Meeting	DRE conference regarding Longwall 980 extension. Presentation on Proposed Changes to Operations within Longwall 980.	
29/08/13	Paul Langley, Ray Ramage, Jenny Mulcahy	DTIRIS	Project Team	Angus Place	Meeting (via teleconference)	DRE conference regarding Longwall 980 extension. Presentation on Proposed Changes to Operations within Longwall 980.	
18/10/13	Gang Li, Robert Paquet, Phil Steuart	DTRIS	Alan Mellor	Angus Place	Email	Angus Place provided draft Subsidence Monitoring Reporting Program for Review (K Line)	

Date	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose	
	Name	Organisation	Name Organisation		Correspondence	or consultation	
21/10/13	Alan Mellor	Angus Place	Robert Paquet	DTRIS	Email	Confirmed reciept. Requested Base Survey when K Line installed.	
25/10/13	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Jason Molkentin	FCNSW	Post	Landowner approval and Occupation Permit for K Line Installation	

# CONSULTATION FOR EXTRACTION PLAN FOR LONGWALL 900W AND 910

Angus Place has commenced consultation with the SMP Interagency Committee on the proposed modification. Consultation has taken place with relevant agencies as per **Table 2** for the Extraction Plan being prepared.

## Table 2: Correspondence regarding LW900W and 910 Extraction Plan

Data	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Date	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
10/08/12	Paul Langley	DTIRIS-DRE	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Email	Invitation to attend the Inception Meeting with Project Team (Natalie Conroy, Alan Mellor, Craig Bagnall and Adam Williams)
20/08/12	Howard Reed	DP&I	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Email	Invitation to attend the Inception Meeting with Project Team
21/08/12	Paul Langley	DTIRIS-DRE	Project Team	Angus Place	Inception Meeting	Inception meeting held at DTIRIS Maitland Office with Project Team
21/08/12	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Project Team	Angus Place	Inception Meeting	Inception meeting held at DTIRIS Maitland Office with Project Team
24/08/12	Howard Reed	DP&I	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Email	Attached PowerPoint presentation from Inception meeting outlining the proposed project approach
29/08/12	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Howard Reed	DP&I	Email	Approval of proposed project approach provided by Howard Reed
05/09/12	Howard Reed	DP&I	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Email	Request for confirmation and support from DP&I regarding sections to be included in the Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan

Consultation		ation with:	By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Date	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
06/09/13	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Howard Reed	DP&I	Email	Confirmation of support for proposed sections to be included in the Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
07/09/13	Howard Reed	DP&I	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Request for Endorsment of Craig Bagnall and Adam Williams of GSS Environmental (now SLR) as suitably qualified and experienced persons to prepare the Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan for Longwalls 900W and 910
13/09/12	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Howard Reed	DP&I	Email	Howard Reed provided draft proposed Guidelines for the Preparation of Extraction Plans
17/09/12	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Howard Reed	DP&I	Letter	Director-General endorsment of Craig Bagnall and Adam Williams of GSS Environmental (now SLR) as suitably qualified and experienced persons to prepare the Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan for Longwalls 900W and 910
05/12/12	CCC Members	Combined Angus Place and Springvale CCC	Jacques le Roux and Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	CCC Meeting	Angus Place and Springvale Combined CCC Meeting where Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan was discussed
05/12/12	CCC Members	Combined Angus Place and Springvale CCC	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	This letter was provided to CCC members at the CCC Meeting. In accordance with the Department of Planning 2007 <i>Guidelines for</i> <i>Establishing and</i> <i>Operating</i> <i>Community</i> <i>Consultative</i> <i>Committees for</i> <i>Mining Projects</i> , the letter summarises where copies of Mine related documents are available and regularly updated for Angus Place
04/02/13	Howard Reed	DP&I	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan

Data	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Date	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
04/02/13	Paul Langley	DTIRIS-DRE	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Ray Ramage	DTIRIS-DRE	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Gang Li	DTIRIS - DRE	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTIRIS-DRE	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Peter Christie	OEH - Biodiversity Conservation Unit	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Marc Irvin	OEH - Biodiversity Conservation Unit	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Mark Mignanelli	NoW	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Gavin Jefferies	Forests NSW (now FCNSW)	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Roger Bailey	Lithgow City Council	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Ravi Sundaram	Sydney Catchment Authority	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan

Data	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Date	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
04/02/13	Gary Moore	Mine Subsidence Board	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Scott Cater	DPI - Fishing and Aquiculture	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Warwick Peckham	Bathurst Local Aboriginal Land Council	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Sharon Brown	Gundungurra Tribal Council	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Helen Riley	Mingaan Aboriginal Tribal Council	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Lachland Garland	Blue Mountains Conservation Society	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Keith Muir	Colong Foundation	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Bob Miller	Centennial Coal - Springvale Colliery	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
04/02/13	Chairperson	Warrabinga Native Title Claimants Aboriginal Corporation	Jacques le Roux	Angus Place	Letter	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan. Email sent with letter attachment from Jacques le Roux
05/02/13	CCC Members	Combined Angus Place and Springvale CCC	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated

Data	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Date	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
						SMP/Extraction Plan. Email sent with letter attachment from Jacques le Roux
05/02/13	CCC Members	Combined Angus Place and Springvale CCC	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Angus Place Fact Sheet
13/02/13	David Olley	Endeavour Energy	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
13/02/13	David Olley	Endeavour Energy	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Angus Place Fact Sheet
14/02/13	David Mate, David Olley and Mark Ezzy	Endeavour Energy	Kevin Rugg	Energy Serve	Email	Request for confirmation that Endeavour Energy has no issues in relation to subsidence at Angus Place
20/02/13	Mark Ezzy	Endeavour Energy	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Invitation to attend the Stakeholder Consultation Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
20/02/13	Mark Ezzy	Endeavour Energy	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Angus Place Fact Sheet
07/03/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTIRIS-DRE	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Stakeholder Workshop	Attendance at the Stakeholder Workshop where two Microsoft PowerPoint presentations ( <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Subsidence 900W</i> <i>910 Angus Place</i> and the <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Overview 900W 910</i> <i>Angus Place</i> ) were shown to the stakeholders outlining the project overview, project area, specific management plans, the mining schedule, project timeline and methods for providing input
07/03/13	Howard Fisher	CCC Chair	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Stakeholder Workshop	Attendance at the Stakeholder Workshop where two Microsoft PowerPoint presentations ( <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Subsidence 900W</i> <i>910 Angus Place</i> and the <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Overview 900W 910</i> <i>Angus Place</i> ) were shown to the stakeholders outlining the project overview, project area, specific management plans,

Data	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Date	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
						the mining schedule, project timeline and methods for providing input
07/03/13	Steve Ditton	Ditton Geotechnical Services (DgS)	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Stakeholder Workshop	Attendance at the Stakeholder Workshop where two Microsoft PowerPoint presentations ( <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Subsidence 900W</i> <i>910 Angus Place</i> and the <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Overview 900W 910</i> <i>Angus Place</i> ) were shown to the stakeholders outlining the project overview, project area, specific management plans, the mining schedule, project timeline and methods for providing input
07/03/13	Karen McLaughlen	Blue Mountains Conservation Society (BMCS)	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Stakeholder Workshop	Attendance at the Stakeholder Workshop where two Microsoft PowerPoint presentations ( <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Subsidence 900W</i> <i>910 Angus Place</i> and the <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Overview 900W 910</i> <i>Angus Place</i> ) were shown to the stakeholders outlining the project overview, project area, specific management plans, the mining schedule, project timeline and methods for providing input
07/03/13	Kevin Lambkin	Sydney Catchment Authority (SCA)	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Stakeholder Workshop	Attendance at the Stakeholder Workshop where two Microsoft PowerPoint presentations ( <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Subsidence 900W</i> <i>910 Angus Place</i> and the <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Overview 900W 910</i> <i>Angus Place</i> ) were shown to the stakeholders outlining the project overview, project area, specific management plans, the mining schedule, project timeline and methods for providing input

Dete	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Date	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
07/03/13	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Stakeholder Workshop	Attendance at the Stakeholder Workshop where two Microsoft PowerPoint presentations ( <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Subsidence 900W</i> <i>910 Angus Place</i> and the <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Overview 900W 910</i> <i>Angus Place</i> ) were shown to the stakeholders outlining the project overview, project area, specific management plans, the mining schedule, project timeline and methods for providing input
07/03/13	Danny Whitty	CCC Member	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Stakeholder Workshop	Attendance at the Stakeholder Workshop where two Microsoft PowerPoint presentations ( <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Subsidence 900W</i> <i>910 Angus Place</i> and the <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Overview 900W 910</i> <i>Angus Place</i> ) were shown to the stakeholders outlining the project overview, project area, specific management plans, the mining schedule, project timeline and methods for providing input
07/03/13	Allan Mellor	Angus Place	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Stakeholder Workshop	Attendance at the Stakeholder Workshop where two Microsoft PowerPoint presentations ( <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Subsidence 900W</i> <i>910 Angus Place</i> and the <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Overview 900W 910</i> <i>Angus Place</i> ) were shown to the stakeholders outlining the project overview, project area, specific management plans, the mining schedule, project timeline and methods for providing input
07/03/13	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Stakeholder Workshop	Attendance at the Stakeholder Workshop where two Microsoft PowerPoint presentations ( <i>Extraction Plan</i> <i>Subsidence 900W</i> <i>910 Angus Place</i> and the <i>Extraction Plan</i>
Data	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
----------	--------------------	---	-------------------	--------------	----------------	---
Date	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
						Overview 900W 910 Angus Place) were shown to the stakeholders outlining the project overview, project area, specific management plans, the mining schedule, project timeline and methods for providing input
08/03/13	Marc Irvin	OEH - Biodiversity Conservation Unit	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	David Mate	Endeavour Energy	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Graeme Browne	Endeavour Energy	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Skye Ellacott	Lithgow City Council	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place Mine Manager	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Steve Ditton	DgS (Subsidence Engineer)	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Howard Fisher	CCC Chair	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Danny Whitty	CCC Member	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder

Data	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Date	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
						Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Karen McLaughlen	BMCS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Kevin Lambkin	Sydney Catchment Authority	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTIRIS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Paul Langely	DTIRIS - DRE	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Colin Phillips	DP&I	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
08/03/13	Howard Reed	DP&I	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	PDF copy of two presentations given during the Stakeholder Workshop for the 900W and 910 Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
25/03/13	Kevin Rugg	Energy Serve	David Mate	Endeavour Energy	Email	Confirmation that Endeavour Energy has no issues in relation to subsidence at Angus Place
27/03/13	Karen McLaughlen	BMCS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Stakeholder Workshop Meeting Minutes
27/03/13	Kevin Lambkin	Sydney Catchment Authority	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Stakeholder Workshop Meeting Minutes
27/03/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTIRIS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Stakeholder Workshop Meeting Minutes
27/03/13	Howard Fisher	CCC Chair	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Stakeholder Workshop Meeting Minutes

Data	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Date	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
27/03/13	Danny Whitty	CCC Member	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Stakeholder Workshop Meeting Minutes
27/03/13	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place Mine Manager	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Stakeholder Workshop Meeting Minutes
27/03/13	Steve Ditton	DgS (Subsidence Engineer)	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Stakeholder Workshop Meeting Minutes
04/04/13	CCC Members	Combined Angus Place and Springvale CCC	Brian Nicholls and Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	CCC Meeting	Angus Place and Springvale Combined CCC Meeting
24/04/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTIRIS	Project Team	Angus Place	Angus Place MOP Meeting	Update on Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan given during Angus Place MOP meeting
01/05/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTIRIS	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Provided comments to Angus Place regarding the Flora and Fauna Management Plan
01/05/13	Mark Irvin	OEH - Biodiversity Conservation Unit	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Provided comments to Angus Place regarding the Flora and Fauna Management Plan
16/07/13	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Gary Germon	OEH ( Ecosystems and Threatened Species)	Letter	Review response of the Rehabilitation Management Plan and Ventilitation Facility Rehabilitation Mangement Plan (Attachment A) and Flora and Fauna Management Plan (Attachment B)
17/07/13	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Amanda Jowett	OEH	Email	Review of Angus Place Flora and Fauna Management Plan and Ventilitation Facility Rehabilitation Mangement Plan received from Amanda Jowett on behalf of Gary Germon
30/7/13	Alan Mellor	Angus Place	Mark Ezzy Kevin Rugg	Endeavour Energy Energy Serve	Email	Proposed Powerline monitoring program sent to Endeavour Energy and Energy Serve
27/08/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTIRIS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Draft PowerPoint presentation for meeting on 29/8/13 regarding proposed extraction changes with Angus Place
27/08/13	Paul Langley	DTIRIS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Draft PowerPoint presentation for meeting on 29/8/13 regarding proposed extraction changes with Angus Place
27/08/13	Ray Ramage	DTIRIS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Draft PowerPoint presentation for meeting on 29/8/13 regarding proposed extraction changes with Angus Place

Data	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Dale	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
27/08/13	Jenny Mulcahy	DTIRIS	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Draft PowerPoint presentation for meeting on 29/8/13 regarding proposed extraction changes with Angus Place
27/08/13	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Email	Draft PowerPoint presentation for meeting on 29/8/13 regarding proposed extraction changes with Angus Place
28/08/13	Helen Riley	Mingaan Aboriginal Tribal Council	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Heritage Management Plan provided for review
28/08/13	Chairperson	Warrabinga Native Title Claimants Aboriginal Corporation	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Heritage Management Plan provided for review
28/08/13	Sharon Brown	Gundungurra Tribal Council	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Heritage Management Plan provided for review
28/08/13	Warwick Peckham	Bathurst Local Aboriginal Land Council	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Heritage Management Plan provided for review
28/08/13	Marc Irvin	OEH - Biodiversity Conservation Unit	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Heritage Management Plan provided for review
28/08/13	Peter Christie	OEH - Biodiversity Conservation Unit	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Heritage Management Plan provided for review
28/08/13	Gavin Jefferies	Forests NSW	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Land Management Plan provided for review
28/08/13	Rod Joyce	Endeavour Energy	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Land Management Plan provided for review
29/08/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTIRIS	Project Team	Angus Place	Meeting	DRE conference regarding increased height and 900W extension. Presentation on Proposed Changes to Operations within Longwalls 900W and 910
29/08/13	Paul Langley, Ray Ramage, Jenny Mulcahy	DTIRIS	Project Team	Angus Place	Meeting (via teleconference)	DRE conference regarding increased height and 900W extension. Presentation on Proposed Changes to Operations within Longwalls 900W and 910
29/08/13	Adam Williams	GSS Environmental (now SLR)	Project Team	Angus Place	Meeting	DRE conference regarding increased height and 900W extension. Presentation on Proposed Changes to Operations within Longwalls 900W and 910

Data	Consultation with:		By:		Mode of	Summary/Purpose
Bute	Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation	Correspondence	of consultation
30/08/13	Colin Phillips	DP&I	Natalie Conroy	Angus Place	Telephone Conversation	Conversation regarding timeframes associated with the review of the Extraction Plans. Recommendation from Colin Phillips for Angus Place to prepare a letter outlining the proposed changes for the DP&I to consider
11/09/13	Howard Reed	DP&I	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Request for feedback from DP&I in regards to the preferred approach for incorporating the variations in coal extraction i.e. inclusion in the Integrated SMP/Extraction Plan
13/09/13	Peter O'Kane	Department of Finance and Services	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Built Features Management Plan provided to the Department of Finance and Services - Land and Property Information for review
13/09/13	Gavin Jefferies	FCNSW	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Built Features Management Plan provided to FCNSW for review
27/9/13	Kevin Rugg	Energy Serve	Alan Mellor	Angus Place	Email	Powerline Monitoring Program Agreed
18/10/13	Dr Ravi Sundaram	SCA	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Site Water Management Plan provided to SCA for review
18/10/13	Mark Mignanelli	NOW	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Site Water Management Plan provided to NOW for review
18/10/13	Andrew Helms	EPA	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Site Water Management Plan provided to EPA for review
18/10/13	Greg Kininmonth	DTRIS	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Fauna and Flora Management Plan provided to DTRIS for review
18/10/13	Marc Irvin	OEH - Biodiversity Conservation Unit	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Letter	Angus Place Fauna and Flora Management Plan provided to OEH for review
25/10/13	Brian Nicholls	Angus Place	Jason Molkentin	FCNSW	Post	Landowner approval and Occupation Permit for K Line Installation